



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,770	11/19/2001	Steven Leigh	2001-1087A	7884
513 7	590 03/13/2003			
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	;

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/890,770

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Gollamudi Kishore

Art Unit



Leigh



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address			
	for Reply	TO EVOIDE	throp	MONTH(S) EDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.							
- Failure	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	ne application to beco	me ABANDO	NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, e	ven if timely	filed, may reduce any			
Status							
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-fina	l.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	•					
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>12-22</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>12-22</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
11)□							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 3	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗴	∄ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:						
	1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	ed.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	ed in App	lication No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure 	au (PCT Rule 1	l 7.2(a)).	-			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified cop	ies not re	eceived.			
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona						
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.0	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		A) [] [412) Pages Ma/a)			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		-413) Paper No(s)			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
24							

Application/Control Number: 09890,770

Art Unit: :1615

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment dated 11-19-01 is acknowledged.

Claims included in the prosecution are 12-22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 12-13 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mehta (5,811,119)..

Mehta discloses powders containing phospholipids and retinoic acid. The powder upon reconstitution forms liposomes (note the abstract and examples, example 1 in particular).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented

Art Unit: :1615

and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 12-15 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Roux (6,103,259) or Hayward (5,585,109) in combination with Mehta cited above.

Roux discloses liposomal preparations containing alpha hydroxy acids such as salicylic acid (note the abstract, col. 2, line 54 through col. 5, line 3). Roux however, does not teach the preparation of liposomes in the form of a powder.

Hayward similarly discloses liposomal compositions containing salicylic acid (note the abstract, and examples). Hayward however, does not teach the preparation of liposomes in the form of a powder.

Mehta as discussed above, teaches that the liposomes can be prepared in a powdery form and when needed an aqueous medium is added to the powders to form liposomes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to lyophilize the liposomal compositions in the form of powders and reconstitute liposomes upon addition of an aqueous medium just before use since such a method is routinely and conveniently practiced in the art as shown by Mehta.

5. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Roux (6,103,259) or Hayward (5,585,109) in combination with Mehta cited above, further in view of either Touitou (5,716,638) or Ribier (5,614,215).

Application/Control Number: 09890,770 Page 4

Art Unit: :1615

What is lacking in Roux, and Hayward is the inclusion of a xanthine such as caffeine.

Touitou while disclosing phospholipid containing compositions for application to the skin teaches the use of a combination of caffeine and salicylate (note the abstract and Example XVII on col. 10).

Ribier while disclosing liposomal compositions for application to the skin teaches that a combination of a keratolytic agent such as salicylic acid along with a liporegulating agent such as caffeine could be used (note the abstract, col. 6, lines 56-60).

The inclusion of caffeine in the compositions containing a salicylic acid of Roux or Hayward would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since the references of Touitou, and Hayward show the routine practice in the art of using a combination of salicylate and a Xanthine such as caffeine; one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the benefits of both agents from the resulting combination.

Application/Control Number: 09890,770 Page 5

Art Unit: :1615

دائه

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *G.S. Kishore* whose telephone number is (703) 308-2440.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.K. Page, can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3592.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [thurman.page@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Art Unit: :1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1235.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph. D

LS Kuhn

Primary Examiner

Group 1600

gsk

March 5, 2003